

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,796	12/04/2001	Eija Pirhonen	01942-00007	9843	
22910 BANNER & W	22910 7590 02/21/2007 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.			EXAMINER ·	
28 STATE STREET 28th FLOOR			YOUNG, MICAH PAUL		
BOSTON, MA 02109-9601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 1/22/2007.

11115	s is in response to the Pre-Appear blief Request for Revie	ew filed <u>1/22/2007</u> .		
	1. Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s):	a conference will not be held for the following		
	☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurred ☐ The request does not include reasons why a rev ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre ☐ Other:	iew is appropriate.		
	The time period for filing a response continues to run fro the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice			
 	2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of th appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.			
	 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the clair Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	m(s) is as follows:		
1	3. Allowable application – A conference has been had Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remain applicant at this time.			
	4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been he action will be mailed. No further action is required by ap			
Αll	l participants:			
(1) <u>/</u>	Michael G. Hartley	(3) <u>Micah Young (Examiner)</u> .		
ي (2)	Jean Witz (QAS).	(4)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Part of Paper No. 20070220